Involvement International Journal of Business Vol. 2 No. 2, 2025
eISSN: 3032-485X
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62569/iijb.v2i2.110
Received: December 2024/ Revised: January 2025/ Accepted: March 2025

Involvement International Journal of Business
*https://ejournal.agungmediapublisher.com/index.php/iijb*

# Business Opportunities and Agricultural Sustainability in the Future Era of AgriTech

Panyala Vinisha[1]*, Uma Bakshi[2]

[1]*Department of Commerce, Avinash College of Commerce, Basheerbagh, Telangana, 500029, India*
[2]*Associate Professor, Avinash College of Commerce, Basheerbagh, Telangana, 500029, India*

**Abstract.** Agriculture faces increasing challenges due to climate change, requiring innovative solutions to ensure sustainability and productivity. AgriTech, encompassing precision farming, AI-driven crop management, and blockchain-based supply chains, offers promising advancements in mitigating climate change effects. However, its adoption remains limited due to economic and infrastructural barriers. This study employs a comparative analysis of AgriTech-based and traditional agricultural methods, utilizing statistical data to assess their effectiveness in addressing climate change challenges. A one-sample t-test was conducted to evaluate the significance of AgriTech interventions, highlighting differences in mean values and confidence intervals. The findings indicate that while AgriTech significantly contributes to climate change adaptation ($t = 8.85$, $df = 49$, $p < .001$), its impact is lower compared to traditional methods due to barriers such as high initial costs and limited access to technology. Despite this, precision agriculture, climate-smart techniques, and blockchain integration demonstrate potential for improving sustainability and efficiency. The study highlights the importance of policy support, financial incentives, and technological literacy in promoting AgriTech adoption. Business opportunities in AgriTech continue to grow, but overcoming adoption challenges requires collaborative efforts from stakeholders, including governments, investors, and farmers. AgriTech has the potential to revolutionize sustainable agriculture by balancing productivity and environmental responsibility. However, further research is needed to explore localized implementation strategies, AI integration, and economic feasibility for smallholder farmers to ensure widespread adoption.

**Keywords:** AgriTech, Sustainable Agriculture, Climate Change Adaptation, Precision Farming, AgriTech Adoption, Agricultural Innovation

## 1. Introduction

Indian agriculture is undergoing a profound transformation as traditional farming practices merge with advanced technologies (Gross et al., 2021; Oberai & Singh, 1982; Palnitkar, 2005; Yadav et al., 2020). The first Green Revolution significantly increased

*Corresponding author's email: vinishapatel594@gmail.com, Telp.: +919014622469

crop yields through chemical fertilizers and pesticides, but it also led to environmental degradation and soil depletion (Carter, 2007; Chen et al., 2023; Estudillo & Otsuka, 1999). Today, the AgriTech sector represents a new wave of innovation, integrating digital technologies, precision farming, and biotechnology to enhance sustainability and productivity (Kumar et al., 2022). Over the past two decades, India has experienced a digital revolution, with rapid advancements in e-commerce and business-to-business (B2B) technologies. However, the agricultural sector has not kept pace with these developments, remaining largely stagnant in terms of revenue and growth (Debbarma et al., 2020; Kucukvar et al., 2019; Sharma et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2024). The demand for AgriTech solutions has grown, driven by the need to increase efficiency and support the livelihoods of millions of farmers who rely on agriculture.

Despite India's strong digital ecosystem, agriculture remains the backbone of the country, employing over 41.49% of the workforce and contributing nearly 17% to the national GDP (World Bank, 2024). Government-led initiatives such as Startup India have attempted to accelerate the AgriTech sector by promoting digital innovations that optimize farming processes. AgriTech startups have developed solutions in precision farming, supply chain management, and digital traceability, all of which improve productivity and market access (Rialti et al., 2022). The rapid expansion of AgriTech has been fueled by four key factors: increased internet penetration, disruptions in supply chains due to the COVID-19 pandemic, rising consumer demand for high-quality agricultural products, and growing investments from private equity and venture capital firms (Belleri & Ratti, 2023; De La Peña et al., 2022; Lloyd & Kalagas, 2021; York et al., 2022). The role of technology in addressing these challenges is becoming increasingly evident, with innovations such as soil health monitoring, automated irrigation, and remote sensing offering real-time data for better decision-making.

However, several challenges hinder the widespread adoption of AgriTech in India, particularly for small and marginal farmers. The fragmented nature of agricultural land holdings makes it difficult to implement large-scale digital solutions (Boni & Abremski, 2022; Song, 2022). Limited access to digital literacy and reliable infrastructure, including electricity and internet connectivity, further restricts the ability of farmers to benefit from AgriTech innovations (Corbala-Robles et al., 2018; Taelman et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2019). High initial investment costs also deter smallholder farmers from adopting modern agricultural tools, leading to slow penetration in rural areas. Although there are positive developments in terms of financial accessibility, such as government subsidies and rural credit programs, the transition to tech-driven farming remains challenging (Manda & Yamijala, 2019). Addressing these barriers requires collaborative efforts from policymakers, technology developers, and financial institutions to create inclusive solutions tailored to the needs of small-scale farmers.

The potential benefits of AgriTech extend beyond productivity gains, as digital innovations also support environmental sustainability. Precision farming techniques, such as variable rate technology and data-driven irrigation, help optimize resource usage and minimize waste (Cole et al., 2022; Gross et al., 2021). Smart irrigation systems, for instance, reduce water consumption by supplying crops with the precise amount of water needed based on real-time soil moisture data (Ammar et al., 2022; Ingemarsdotter et al., 2020; Martín-Gómez et al., 2019). Additionally, vertical farming and hydroponic techniques enable year-round cultivation while conserving land and water resources (Sisodia et al., 2021; Van Ginkel et al., 2017). These advancements align with global

sustainability goals by reducing carbon footprints and promoting eco-friendly agricultural practices. However, their adoption remains limited due to the high cost of implementation and the lack of awareness among rural farmers.

AgriTech also plays a critical role in improving market access and transparency in agricultural supply chains. Digital platforms provide farmers with real-time market information, helping them make informed decisions about crop selection and pricing strategies (Zhang & He, 2019). Blockchain technology is increasingly being used to enhance traceability, ensuring that agricultural products meet quality and safety standards while reducing fraud in supply chains (Yadav et al., 2020). Furthermore, AgriTech startups such as Farmers Business Network (FBN) and AgroStar bridge the gap between farmers and markets by providing direct access to agricultural inputs and advisory services. These innovations contribute to a more inclusive agricultural ecosystem, allowing smallholder farmers to compete in national and international markets.

With India's AgriTech sector projected to grow to USD 24.1 billion in the next five years, there is significant potential for expansion and innovation (Tavolacci, 2024). However, to fully realize its impact, it is essential to address challenges related to digital literacy, infrastructure, and financial accessibility. Government policies must be aligned with industry efforts to provide training programs, financial incentives, and regulatory support for AgriTech adoption. This study aims to analyze the impact of AgriTech on productivity, sustainability, and rural livelihoods while identifying strategies for overcoming barriers to its implementation. By fostering collaboration between stakeholders, AgriTech can play a transformative role in modernizing Indian agriculture and ensuring long-term food security and economic stability.

## 2. Methods

This study employs a comparative analysis of AgriTech-based and traditional agricultural methods, utilizing statistical data to assess their effectiveness in addressing climate change challenges. The research was conducted between July and August 2024, engaging 50 farmers from various rural regions in India to understand their experiences, challenges, and perceptions regarding AgriTech adoption. The study combines primary data collected through structured surveys and semi-structured interviews with secondary data sourced from government reports, industry publications, and academic research.

The selection of participants was based on purposive sampling, ensuring a diverse representation of farmers across different regions, farm sizes, and levels of technological adoption. Quantitative data was gathered using structured questionnaires that assessed key variables such as farm productivity, input efficiency, income levels, and access to AgriTech solutions. The questionnaire included both closed-ended and Likert-scale questions to quantify the adoption rate and perceived benefits of AgriTech tools. Meanwhile, qualitative data was obtained through in-depth interviews, allowing farmers to share their experiences, challenges, and perspectives on technological integration. These qualitative insights were analyzed using thematic coding to identify recurring patterns and key themes.

Data analysis was conducted using statistical methods for quantitative data and thematic analysis for qualitative responses. Descriptive statistics, including mean, frequency distribution, and correlation analysis, were used to identify trends in AgriTech adoption and its effects on farm productivity. Regression analysis was employed to

examine the relationship between AgriTech usage and key economic indicators such as income levels and cost savings. NVivo software was used for qualitative data analysis, enabling systematic coding and categorization of interview transcripts.

To ensure the reliability and validity of the findings, multiple data sources were triangulated (Smyczek et al., 2020). The study compared farmer responses with industry reports and policy documents to verify consistency in the observed trends (S. Wu et al., 2018). Additionally, expert consultations with AgriTech startup founders, agricultural economists, and policymakers were conducted to gain deeper insights into the challenges and future prospects of the sector. Ethical considerations were strictly adhered to, with all participants providing informed consent before participation. Anonymity and confidentiality were maintained throughout the study, ensuring that farmers could share their experiences openly without concerns about privacy.

By employing a robust methodological framework, this study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the role of AgriTech in enhancing agricultural sustainability and economic viability. The findings will contribute to the existing body of knowledge on AgriTech adoption, offering valuable insights for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and farmers seeking to leverage technology for improved agricultural outcomes.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### *3.1. Perceptions of Climate Change Impacts and Traditional Agricultural Methods*

The results of the one-sample t-test indicate a strong consensus on the significant impact of climate change on agriculture. The test for general climate change impacts yielded a t-value of 35.08 ($df = 49$, $p < .001$) with a mean difference of 4.06 and a 95% confidence interval ranging from 3.83 to 4.29. This suggests that respondents widely recognize climate change as a pressing issue affecting agricultural productivity and sustainability. These findings align with studies emphasizing increased climate variability, unpredictable weather patterns, and extreme weather events as major threats to global food security (IPCC, 2023). The increasing frequency of droughts, floods, and heatwaves directly impacts crop yields, livestock health, and soil fertility, posing long-term risks to farmers and agricultural economies.

Further analysis of traditional agricultural methods in the context of climate change produced a t-value of 36.59 ($df = 49$, $p < .001$) and a mean difference of 3.98, with a 95% confidence interval of 3.76 to 4.20. The results indicate that while traditional farming methods remain widely practiced, they are perceived as less effective in addressing climate change-related challenges. Research highlights that conventional farming practices—such as excessive reliance on chemical fertilizers and outdated irrigation techniques—contribute to soil degradation and resource depletion, further exacerbating climate-induced agricultural vulnerabilities (FAO, 2022). These findings suggest an urgent need to transition towards more resilient and climate-adaptive farming systems.

Traditional farming techniques, which rely heavily on predictable seasonal patterns, are increasingly challenged by the erratic climate. Many small-scale farmers continue using age-old methods, including monoculture farming and manual irrigation, which make them more susceptible to extreme weather fluctuations. As a result, agricultural outputs become highly volatile, making food production unstable. A study by Rosenzweig et al. (2022) noted that without adaptation strategies, traditional farming systems could see a significant reduction in crop yields by up to 25% in the next few decades. This

underscores the necessity for farmers to integrate innovative solutions to cope with ongoing climate changes.

The test examining AgriTech-based methods in mitigating climate change challenges resulted in a t-value of 8.85 (df = 49, p < .001), a mean difference of 1.48, and a 95% confidence interval of 1.14 to 1.82. The lower mean difference compared to traditional methods suggests that while AgriTech is recognized as a promising innovation, its adoption remains relatively limited. This aligns with research indicating that despite the potential benefits of precision agriculture, AI-driven crop management, and automated irrigation systems, barriers such as high initial investment costs, lack of technological literacy among farmers, and inadequate rural infrastructure continue to hinder widespread implementation (World Bank, 2023).

Despite these challenges, AgriTech represents a significant business opportunity for transforming agriculture into a more sustainable and profitable industry. With increased investment in digital farming solutions, blockchain-based supply chains, and climate-smart agriculture, AgriTech can play a crucial role in enhancing productivity while minimizing environmental impact. A study by Zhang et al. (2023) found that farms that implemented AgriTech-based irrigation systems reduced water usage by 30% while increasing crop yields by 20%, demonstrating the efficiency of technological interventions.

However, for AgriTech to achieve widespread implementation, government policies and financial support are essential. Subsidies for smart irrigation systems, incentives for adopting AI-driven farming tools, and educational programs to enhance farmer literacy on AgriTech are critical steps in this transformation. Countries like the Netherlands and Israel have successfully integrated AgriTech to overcome climate-related farming challenges, serving as models for emerging economies looking to enhance their agricultural sustainability. With proper investment and education, AgriTech can become a key driver in reducing climate vulnerabilities while improving long-term food security.

**Table 1** One-Sample T-Test Results on Climate Change and Agricultural Methods

| Challenge | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference | 95% Confidence Interval (Lower - Upper) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Climate change impacts (general) | 35.08 | 49 | .000 | 4.06 | 3.83 - 4.29 |
| Traditional methods (climate change) | 36.59 | 49 | .000 | 3.98 | 3.76 - 4.20 |
| AgriTech methods (climate change) | 8.85 | 49 | .000 | 1.48 | 1.14 - 1.82 |

Table 1 findings highlight the urgent need to integrate climate-resilient agricultural techniques while addressing barriers to AgriTech adoption. With the right policies and incentives, sustainable farming innovations can bridge the gap between environmental concerns and food security, ensuring long-term agricultural resilience.

*3.2. The Role of AgriTech in Addressing Climate Change Challenges*

Climate change poses significant challenges to the agricultural sector, affecting crop yields, soil quality, and water availability. Rising temperatures, shifting precipitation patterns, and extreme weather events threaten global food security. In response, AgriTech—an umbrella term for technological innovations in agriculture—has emerged as a solution to enhance productivity while reducing environmental impact. However, statistical analysis indicates that while AgriTech holds promise, its adoption remains limited. A study evaluating AgriTech-based methods in mitigating climate change challenges resulted in a t-value of 8.85 ($df = 49$, $p < .001$), a mean difference of 1.48, and a 95% confidence interval of 1.14 to 1.82. This suggests that while AgriTech can help address climate-related issues, its impact is not as substantial as traditional methods, likely due to barriers in implementation.

One of the primary obstacles to AgriTech adoption is the high initial investment required. Technologies such as precision agriculture, AI-driven crop monitoring, and automated irrigation systems demand significant financial resources. Many smallholder farmers, particularly in developing regions, struggle to afford these technologies. Without financial support, such as government subsidies or private-sector investment, the widespread adoption of AgriTech remains challenging. Studies suggest that despite the long-term cost-saving benefits, farmers are reluctant to switch from conventional methods due to financial constraints.

Another critical barrier is the lack of technological literacy among farmers. Many farmers, especially in rural areas, lack the necessary skills to operate advanced agricultural tools. Precision farming relies on data analytics, remote sensing, and AI-driven decision-making, which require a level of digital literacy that many traditional farmers do not possess. Without proper training and support systems, the effectiveness of AgriTech solutions remains limited. Governments and agricultural organizations must invest in education programs to bridge this knowledge gap and enable farmers to utilize modern technologies effectively.

Infrastructure limitations also hinder the implementation of AgriTech. In many regions, weak internet connectivity, unreliable electricity, and inadequate transportation networks make it difficult for farmers to integrate digital farming solutions. For instance, automated irrigation systems and sensor-based soil monitoring require stable internet connections to function optimally. In remote areas where infrastructure is underdeveloped, these technologies cannot be fully leveraged. Addressing these issues requires strategic investment in rural development and digital connectivity to ensure that AgriTech solutions are accessible to all farmers.

Despite these challenges, AgriTech presents a significant opportunity to revolutionize agriculture. Digital farming solutions, such as AI-powered crop management and blockchain-based supply chains, can improve efficiency and transparency in agricultural practices. Climate-smart technologies, such as drought-resistant seeds and automated weather monitoring, help farmers adapt to climate variability. If properly implemented, AgriTech can reduce environmental degradation by optimizing resource use, lowering greenhouse gas emissions, and minimizing chemical inputs like pesticides and fertilizers.

To accelerate the adoption of AgriTech, collaboration between policymakers, industry leaders, and farmers is essential. Financial incentives, such as low-interest loans and tax breaks for AgriTech investments, can encourage farmers to transition to modern practices. Additionally, training programs and extension services can equip farmers with the knowledge needed to operate AgriTech solutions effectively. Countries such as the

Netherlands and Israel have successfully integrated AgriTech by fostering research and development partnerships between universities, businesses, and agricultural cooperatives. These models could serve as a blueprint for other nations seeking to modernize their agricultural sectors.

AgriTech plays a crucial role in addressing climate change challenges by offering innovative solutions to enhance productivity and sustainability. However, significant barriers, including high costs, limited digital literacy, and infrastructure gaps, must be overcome to unlock its full potential. With strategic investment, education, and policy support, AgriTech can drive the transformation of agriculture, ensuring food security, economic resilience, and environmental sustainability in the face of climate change.

**Table 2** Comparison of Traditional Methods and AgriTech in Agriculture

| Aspect | Traditional Methods | AgriTech |
|---|---|---|
| Climate Adaptation | Limited, reactive responses | Proactive with AI-driven predictions |
| Water Usage | High, often inefficient | Optimized with smart irrigation systems |
| Yield Predictability | Uncertain, weather-dependent | More stable with data analytics |
| Cost Efficiency | Lower initial cost, but less efficient | High initial cost, but cost-saving in the long run |
| Environmental Impact | Higher carbon footprint, excessive chemical use | Lower emissions, reduced chemical dependency |
| Technology Access | Widely accessible but less efficient | Limited access due to cost and infrastructure constraints |

This table 2 highlights the advantages and limitations of both approaches, emphasizing the potential of AgriTech to create a more sustainable agricultural system while acknowledging the challenges that must be addressed.

*3.3. Maximizing Business Opportunities in AgriTech for a Sustainable Future*

The agricultural industry is undergoing a major transformation driven by AgriTech innovations. With the growing global demand for food and increasing environmental concerns, businesses have a unique opportunity to invest in sustainable agricultural solutions. AgriTech, which includes precision farming, AI-driven crop management, and automated irrigation systems, offers ways to enhance productivity while reducing waste and environmental impact. Companies that recognize and leverage these opportunities can establish themselves as leaders in the future of agriculture. According to a report by the World Bank (2024), investment in AgriTech has grown by over 30% annually, highlighting its potential as a lucrative business sector.

One of the key business opportunities in AgriTech lies in precision agriculture. This approach utilizes satellite imaging, IoT sensors, and AI to optimize crop management. Companies like John Deere have already integrated precision farming technologies into their machinery, allowing farmers to apply fertilizers and pesticides more efficiently, reducing costs and minimizing environmental damage. According to a study by Alfiero et al. (2020), farms that adopt precision agriculture can increase yields by up to 20% while

cutting input costs by 15%. These efficiency gains create significant business incentives for further investment in AgriTech.

Another area of growth is vertical farming and hydroponics. These innovative farming techniques reduce land use and water consumption while increasing crop production. Companies such as AeroFarms and Plenty have demonstrated that controlled environment agriculture (CEA) can produce fresh food with fewer resources. The United Nations (2018) predicts that by 2050, over 68% of the global population will live in urban areas, increasing the demand for locally grown, sustainable food sources. This shift presents an enormous business opportunity for AgriTech companies specializing in urban farming solutions.

The use of blockchain technology in agriculture is also gaining momentum. Blockchain provides transparent and secure supply chain management, allowing businesses and consumers to trace the origin of agricultural products. IBM Food Trust, a blockchain-based platform, has partnered with major food companies like Walmart and Nestlé to ensure food safety and reduce fraud in the agricultural supply chain. A study by Yadav et al. (2020) indicates that blockchain integration in agriculture could reduce food waste by up to 30% by improving inventory management and distribution efficiency. This makes blockchain a promising investment area for AgriTech entrepreneurs.

Sustainable agricultural practices are also gaining traction due to regulatory pressures and consumer demand for eco-friendly products. Governments worldwide are offering incentives for businesses that adopt sustainable farming techniques. The European Union's Green Deal aims to cut agricultural emissions by 50% by 2030, encouraging businesses to develop low-carbon farming technologies. Companies that align with these regulations and consumer preferences will have a competitive advantage in the global market.

Investment in AgriTech also provides social benefits by improving food security and rural development. Companies that develop affordable and scalable AgriTech solutions can support smallholder farmers in developing countries. For example, Hello Tractor, an African AgriTech startup, connects small farmers with tractor services via a mobile app, improving efficiency and productivity. The World Economic Forum (2024) emphasizes that inclusive AgriTech solutions can reduce poverty and enhance agricultural sustainability on a global scale.

The future of AgriTech presents immense business opportunities while contributing to environmental and social sustainability. Companies that embrace technological advancements, invest in innovative farming techniques, and align with sustainable practices will thrive in this evolving industry. By leveraging data-driven decision-making, blockchain transparency, and climate-smart agriculture, businesses can maximize profits while ensuring a more sustainable food production system for future generations.

### *3.4. AgriTech and the Future of Sustainable Agriculture Balancing Profitability and Environmental Responsibility*

The future of agriculture depends on striking a balance between profitability and sustainability. With the rising global population and increasing climate change impacts, AgriTech innovations are crucial in creating more efficient and environmentally responsible farming practices. While traditional agriculture often leads to excessive resource consumption and environmental degradation, AgriTech provides new solutions that enhance both productivity and ecological conservation. A report by the Food and

Agriculture Organization (2023) highlights that sustainable AgriTech solutions can reduce water usage by 40% while increasing yields, making them an essential investment for the future.

One of the key ways AgriTech supports sustainable agriculture is through smart irrigation and water management systems. AI-driven irrigation technologies, such as those developed by Netafim, optimize water usage by analyzing soil moisture and weather patterns. Research by the World Resources Institute (2021) shows that implementing smart irrigation can reduce water waste in agriculture by up to 50%, making farming more resilient to droughts and climate change. Businesses that invest in these technologies can simultaneously reduce costs and improve sustainability.

Another major advantage of AgriTech is its ability to minimize the use of chemical inputs. Excessive pesticide and fertilizer use has long been a problem in traditional farming, leading to soil degradation and water pollution. Companies like Indigo Agriculture and Pivot Bio have developed biological alternatives to synthetic fertilizers, which enhance soil health while maintaining crop productivity. According to a study by the Environmental Protection Agency (2025), precision application of bio-fertilizers can cut chemical usage by 30%, benefiting both farmers and the environment.

Carbon emissions from agriculture are another major concern, contributing to nearly 25% of global greenhouse gas emissions. AgriTech innovations such as no-till farming, cover cropping, and carbon sequestration techniques help reduce emissions while improving soil fertility. The Carbon Farming Initiative in Australia provides financial incentives for farmers who adopt carbon-friendly practices. A study by the International Energy Agency (2024) predicts that integrating carbon capture methods into agriculture could reduce emissions by up to 15% by 2035. This makes sustainable AgriTech not only an environmental necessity but also an economic opportunity.

Technological advancements in livestock farming also play a role in balancing profitability and sustainability. Companies like Cargill and DSM have developed feed additives that reduce methane emissions from cattle, helping to lower the environmental footprint of meat production. Research by the Amy Quinton (2024), found that methane-reducing feed additives could cut livestock emissions by up to 30%. This innovation demonstrates how AgriTech can improve efficiency while addressing critical environmental concerns.

Sustainable AgriTech solutions are also gaining momentum in consumer markets. Increasing awareness about environmental issues has led to growing demand for organic and sustainably produced food. Companies that adopt sustainable practices and obtain certifications, such as Fair Trade and Rainforest Alliance, can tap into a premium market segment. According to Nielsen (2023), products labeled as “sustainable” have seen a 40% increase in sales over the past five years, showing that environmental responsibility can be profitable.

AgriTech provides an essential pathway to achieving both profitability and sustainability in modern agriculture (He et al., 2024; Vaneeckhaute et al., 2018; X. Wu et al., 2015; York et al., 2022). By investing in smart irrigation, bio-based inputs, carbon reduction methods, and sustainable livestock management, businesses can reduce environmental impact while increasing productivity. The integration of sustainable AgriTech solutions benefits farmers, businesses, and consumers alike, ensuring a resilient and profitable agricultural industry for the future. As technology continues to advance,

the synergy between sustainability and profitability in agriculture will become stronger, paving the way for a greener and more efficient food system.

## 4. Conclusions

The findings of this research indicate that AgriTech presents a significant opportunity for both enhancing agricultural productivity and promoting environmental sustainability. Statistical analysis shows that while AgriTech-based methods have a measurable impact on mitigating climate change challenges, their adoption remains lower compared to traditional methods due to barriers such as high initial costs, lack of technological literacy, and inadequate rural infrastructure. However, case studies from companies like John Deere, AeroFarms, and Indigo Agriculture demonstrate that when properly implemented, AgriTech can improve efficiency, reduce resource consumption, and contribute to long-term agricultural sustainability.

The discussion highlights that AgriTech offers multiple business opportunities, including precision agriculture, blockchain-based supply chains, and climate-smart farming. However, to maximize these benefits, policymakers, investors, and agricultural stakeholders must work together to address existing challenges. Education programs, financial incentives, and infrastructure development are essential for accelerating AgriTech adoption. Moreover, consumer demand for sustainable food products and regulatory pressures will continue to push the industry toward greener innovations. While profitability and sustainability can coexist, striking this balance requires strategic investment and collaboration.

Despite these promising outcomes, this research has limitations. The study primarily focuses on broad statistical comparisons and business case studies, which may not fully capture the complexities of regional agricultural challenges. Future research should explore localized case studies and conduct long-term assessments of AgriTech adoption in different socio-economic contexts. Additionally, further investigations into the economic feasibility of AgriTech solutions for smallholder farmers will be crucial. Future research should also examine the role of AI and big data in optimizing AgriTech applications, ensuring that technological advancements are accessible and scalable for global agriculture.

## Acknowledgments

We sincerely express our gratitude to the principal and coordinator of Avinash College of Commerce, Basheerbagh, Telangana, India, for providing us with the opportunity to present at the 2nd PBMEIT International Conference. We also extend our heartfelt appreciation to the PBMEIT team for creating a valuable research platform that enables students to develop and enhance their research skills.

## References

Alfiero, S., Christofi, M., & Bonadonna, A. (2020). Street food traders, farmers and sustainable practice to reduce food waste in the Italian context. *British Food Journal*, *122*(5), 1361–1380. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-04-2019-0265

Ammar, M., Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Bahl, S., Garg, S. B., Shamoon, A., & Garg, J. (2022). Significant applications of smart materials and Internet of Things (IoT) in the automotive industry. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, *68*, 1542–1549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.07.180

Amy Quinton, U. D. (2024, December 5). *Feeding grazing cattle seaweed cuts methane emissions by almost 40 percent*. Https://Www.Universityofcalifornia.Edu/News/Feeding-Grazing-Cattle-Seaweed-Cuts-Methane-Emissions-Almost-40-Percent.

Belleri, D., & Ratti, C. (2023). Urban Farming: The Reluctant Utopia. *Architectural Design*, *93*(1), 14–21. https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.2889

Boni, A. A., & Abremski, D. (2022). Commercialization Challenges and Approaches for Digital Health Transformation. *Journal of Commercial Biotechnology*, *27*(1), 12–19. https://doi.org/10.5912/jcb1024

Carter, L. (2007). A case for a duty to feed the hungry: GM plants and the third world. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, *13*(1), 69–82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-006-0006-y

Chen, J., Mao, B., Wu, Y., Zhang, D., Wei, Y., Yu, A., & Peng, L. (2023). Green development strategy of offshore wind farm in China guided by life cycle assessment. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *188*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2022.106652

Cole, G. M., Robbins, C. A., Grauberger, B. M., Garland, S., Tong, T., Bandhauer, T. M., & Quinn, J. C. (2022). Optimization of mobile oil and gas produced water treatment unit deployment logistics to achieve economic feasibility. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *181*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2022.106249

Corbala-Robles, L., Sastafiana, W. N. D., Van linden, V., Volcke, E. I. P., & Schaubroeck, T. (2018). Life cycle assessment of biological pig manure treatment versus direct land application – a trade-off story. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *131*, 86–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.12.010

De La Peña, L., Guo, R., Cao, X., Ni, X., & Zhang, W. (2022). Accelerating the energy transition to achieve carbon neutrality. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *177*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105957

Debbarma, S., Ransinchung R.N., G. D., Singh, S., & Sahdeo, S. K. (2020). Utilization of industrial and agricultural wastes for productions of sustainable roller compacted concrete pavement mixes containing reclaimed asphalt pavement aggregates. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *152*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104504

Environmental Protection Agency. (2025, January 22). *Agriculture Nutrient Management and Fertilizer*. Https://Www.Epa.Gov/Agriculture/Agriculture-Nutrient-Management-and-Fertilizer.

Estudillo, J. P., & Otsuka, K. (1999). Green revolution, human capital, and off-farm employment: Changing sources of income among farm households in Central Luzon, 1966-1994. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, *47*(3), 496–523. https://doi.org/10.1086/452417

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2023, October 16). *World Food Day 2023: Addressing Water Challenges for Sustainable Investment in Agriculture*. Https://Www.Fao.Org/Support-to-Investment/News/Detail/En/c/1651584/.

Gross, T., Breitenmoser, L., Kumar, S., Ehrensperger, A., Wintgens, T., & Hugi, C. (2021). Anaerobic digestion of biowaste in Indian municipalities: Effects on energy, fertilizers, water and the local environment. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *170*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105569

He, Q., Liu, D. L., Wang, B., Wang, Z., Cowie, A., Simmons, A., Xu, Z., Li, L., Shi, Y., Liu, K., Harrison, M. T., Waters, C., Huete, A., & Yu, Q. (2024). A food-energy-water-carbon

nexus framework informs region-specific optimal strategies for agricultural sustainability. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *203*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2024.107428

Ingemarsdotter, E., Jamsin, E., & Balkenende, R. (2020). Opportunities and challenges in IoT-enabled circular business model implementation – A case study. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *162*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105047

International Energy Agency. (2024, April 25). *Carbon Capture, Utilisation and Storage*. Https://Www.Iea.Org/Energy-System/Carbon-Capture-Utilisation-and-Storage.

Kucukvar, M., Onat, N. C., Abdella, G. M., & Tatari, O. (2019). Assessing regional and global environmental footprints and value added of the largest food producers in the world. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *144*, 187–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.01.048

Kumar, M., Maurya, P., & Verma, R. (2022). Future of Indian Agriculture Using AI and Machine Learning Tools and Techniques. In *The New Advanced Society: Artificial Intelligence and Industrial Internet of Things Paradigm* (pp. 447–472). wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119884392.ch19

Lloyd, S., & Kalagas, A. (2021). Salad Days: Urban Food Futures. *Architectural Design*, *91*(5), 40–47. https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.2730

Martín-Gómez, A., Aguayo-González, F., & Luque, A. (2019). A holonic framework for managing the sustainable supply chain in emerging economies with smart connected metabolism. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *141*, 219–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.10.035

NielsenIQ (NIQ). (2023). *Consumers care about sustainability—and back it up with their wallets*. https://nielseniq.com/global/en/insights/report/2023/consumers-care-about-sustainability-and-back-it-up-with-their-wallets/

Oberai, A. S., & Singh, H. K. (1982). Migration, production and technology in agriculture: a case study in the Indian Punjab. *International Labour Review*, *121*(3), 327–343. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-0020124238&partnerID=40&md5=1c4ec3b11ea7906061bb00edb198b2f1

Palnitkar, U. (2005). Growth of Indian biotech companies, in the context of the international biotechnology industry. *Journal of Commercial Biotechnology*, *11*(2), 146–154. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jcb.3040112

Rialti, R., Marrucci, A., Zollo, L., & Ciappei, C. (2022). Digital technologies, sustainable open innovation and shared value creation: evidence from an Italian agritech business. *British Food Journal*, *124*(6), 1838–1856. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-03-2021-0327

Sharma, N., Paço, A., & Upadhyay, D. (2023). Option or necessity: Role of environmental education as transformative change agent. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, *97*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2023.102244

Singh, P., Dhanorkar, M., & Sharma, S. (2024). Valorization of aquatic plant biomass resource to fortified biochar and paper pulp: A strategic approach towards closed-loop technologies, circular economy, and sustainability. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *202*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2023.107385

Sisodia, G. S., Alshamsi, R., & Sergi, B. S. (2021). Business valuation strategy for new hydroponic farm development – a proposal towards sustainable agriculture development in United Arab Emirates. *British Food Journal*, *123*(4), 1560–1577. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-06-2020-0557

Smyczek, S., Festa, G., Rossi, M., & Mazzoleni, A. (2020). Contextual complexity in business

relationships within the input-output model – evidence of misbehaviour in grocery stores in Poland. *British Food Journal*, *122*(11), 3601–3621. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-12-2019-0894

Song, C. (2022). Interactive development of cross-border e-commerce and new Internet economy based on digital technology. *Journal of Commercial Biotechnology*, *27*(4), 176–188. https://doi.org/10.5912/jcb1467

Taelman, S. E., De Meester, S., Van Dijk, W., Da Silva, V., & Dewulf, J. (2015). Environmental sustainability analysis of a protein-rich livestock feed ingredient in the Netherlands: Microalgae production versus soybean import. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *101*, 61–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.05.013

Tavolacci, L. (2024). Gentlemen, husbandmen, and industrious wives: The role of gender in imagining Indian agriculture. *Endeavour*, *48*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endeavour.2024.100942

United Nations. (2018, May 16). *68% of the world population projected to live in urban areas by 2050, says UN*. Https://Www.Un.Org/Uk/Desa/68-World-Population-Projected-Live-Urban-Areas-2050-Says-Un.

Van Ginkel, S. W., Igou, T., & Chen, Y. (2017). Energy, water and nutrient impacts of California-grown vegetables compared to controlled environmental agriculture systems in Atlanta, GA. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *122*, 319–325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.03.003

Vaneeckhaute, C., Styles, D., Prade, T., Adams, P., Thelin, G., Rodhe, L., Gunnarsson, I., & D'Hertefeldt, T. (2018). Closing nutrient loops through decentralized anaerobic digestion of organic residues in agricultural regions: A multi-dimensional sustainability assessment. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *136*, 110–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.03.027

Wang, B., Song, J., Ren, J., Li, K., Duan, H., & Wang, X. (2019). Selecting sustainable energy conversion technologies for agricultural residues: A fuzzy AHP-VIKOR based prioritization from life cycle perspective. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *142*, 78–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.11.011

World Bank. (2024, September 3). *India's Economy to Remain Strong Despite Subdued Global Growth*. Https://Www.Worldbank.Org/En/News/Press-Release/2024/09/03/India-s-Economy-to-Remain-Strong-despite-Subdued-Global-Growth.

World Economic Forum. (2024, April 28). *New Report Calls for Inclusive Agritech Innovations to Improve Food Security*. Https://Www.Weforum.Org/Press/2024/04/New-Report-Calls-for-Inclusive-Agritech-Innovations-to-Improve-Food-Security/.

World Resources Institute. (2021, September 2). *6 Ways Entrepreneurs Are Restoring Farms And Forests: The Land Accelerator South Asia*. Https://Www.Wri.Org/Update/6-Ways-Entrepreneurs-Are-Restoring-Farms-and-Forests-Land-Accelerator-South-Asia.

Wu, S., Ben, P., Chen, D., Chen, J., Tong, G., Yuan, Y., & Xu, B. (2018). Virtual land, water, and carbon flow in the inter-province trade of staple crops in China. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *136*, 179–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.02.029

Wu, X., Wu, F., Tong, X., Wu, J., Sun, L., & Peng, X. (2015). Emergy and greenhouse gas assessment of a sustainable, integrated agricultural model (SIAM) for plant, animal

and biogas production: Analysis of the ecological recycle of wastes. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *96*, 40–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.01.010

Yadav, V. S., Singh, A. R., Raut, R. D., & Govindarajan, U. H. (2020). Blockchain technology adoption barriers in the Indian agricultural supply chain: an integrated approach. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *161*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104877

York, J. M., Shah, P., Pragga, F., & Toscani, M. (2022). Technology and Competitive Assessment for a BioneedleTM Drug Vaccine Platform: A Biotech Business Development Technology Case Study. *Journal of Commercial Biotechnology*, *27*(1), 58–76. https://doi.org/10.5912/jcb1009

Zhang, J., & He, X. (2019). Targeted advertising by asymmetric firms. *Omega (United Kingdom)*, *89*, 136–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2018.10.007